# Appendix 2a

# Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Impact Assessment

Directorate: Children's Services



Service area: Contracting and Strategic

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration. In all appropriate instances we will need to carry out an equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment.

#### This form:

- can be used to prompt discussion when carrying out your impact assessment
- should be completed either during the assessment process or following completion of the assessment
- should include a brief explanation where a section is not applicable

	Investment
Lead person: Gerry Hudson	Contact number: 224 3635
Date of the equality, diversity, cohesion	and integration impact assessment:
24 <sup>th</sup> September 2013	
F . —	
1. Title:	
The review of two aspects of the Children's	
,	dren on the basis of a parent's non-faith or
belief	
	dren attending single sex schools on the
basis of a parent's religion or belief	
Is this a:	
is this a.	
x Strategy /Policy x Service	/ Function Other
A Stratogy // Silvinos	7 Tunionon
	<del></del>
If other please specify	

# 2. Members of the assessment team:

Name	Organisation	Role on assessment team e.g. service user, manager of service, specialist
Gerry Hudson	Leeds City Council	Service Lead
Allan Hudson	Leeds City Council	Manager of Service
Rachael Davison	Leeds City Council	Project Manager
Alice Fox	Leeds City Council	Policy & Performance Officer
Paul McGrath	Leeds City Council	Project Officer
Anne Oldroyd	Leeds City Council	Legal Services

### 3. Summary of strategy, policy, service or function that was assessed:

This Equality Impact Assessment focuses on the remaining discretionary elements of the Children's Services Transport Policy and the potential impacts on some equality groups receiving this transport if they are removed.

The policy review included an initial phase of public consultation which took place between 27<sup>th</sup> February and 24<sup>th</sup> April 2013 and sought views on the continuance, amendment or removal of discretionary transport. The review itself focused on all the school transport expenditure not just discretionary elements as there is an acknowledgement that efficiencies and savings can be made across the service as a whole.

The Executive Board's decision made on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2013 was to phase out four of the discretionary elements within the previous policy. The four discretionary elements were non-statutory home to school travel to faith schools; post 16 mainstream transport, post 16 (up to age 25) home to school/college transport for young people with special educational needs (SEN) and travel to a school that is not the nearest school (up to 15 miles), if the authority is unable to provide a place at a nearer school. Separate equality assessments have been previously completed and are available on request.

In the case of post 16 SEN transport a final decision on the detailed proposal was deferred pending the outcome of the publication of the guidance in respect of the new Children and Families Act. The Executive Board agreed in principle, however, to an approach which would include the introduction of a means-tested personalised budget.

The decision by the Board was subsequently called-in and considered by the Council's Scrutiny Board on the 7<sup>th</sup> August 2013. Whilst the decision was that the new transport policy should be implemented, the Scrutiny Board registered concern at a potential discrepancy in the revised policy which continued to make provision of discretionary transport available, where particular criteria are met (see Home to School Transport Guidance 2006) This was on the basis of to:

- children with no faith, and
- children attending a single sex school on the basis of a parent's religion or belief

In order to appropriately address the concerns raised, a supplementary consultation was carried out specifically on whether or not discretionary free transport should continue to be available on the basis of non-faith or a parental preference for a single sex education for their child based on their belief. This supplementary consultation took place between 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2013 and 9<sup>th</sup> October 2013.

# **Additional information**

Any changes to the Children's Services Transport Policy will not affect eligibility to statutory transport. A range of new ways of providing statutory provision are being implemented and considered as part of a fundamental shift in the way all children's transport is provided.

**4. Scope of the equality, diversity, cohesion and integration impact assessment** (complete - 4a. if you are assessing a strategy, policy or plan and 4b. if you are assessing a service, function or event)

<b>4a. Strategy, policy or plan</b> (please tick the appropriate box below)		
The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes		
The vision and themes, objectives or outcomes and the supporting guidance		
A specific section within the strategy, policy or plan	x	
Please provide detail:		
This assessment focused on funding for transport provided on a discresingle sex and non-faith schools.	etionary basis to	
4b. Service, function, event please tick the appropriate box below		
The whole service (including service provision and employment)		
A specific part of the service (including service provision or employment or a specific section of the service)	x	
Procuring of a service (by contract or grant) (please see equality assurance in procurement)		
Please provide detail:		
Assistance is provided for children who attend single sex and non-faith support them with their home to school/college journeys.	n schools in order to	
5. Fact finding – what do we already know		
<b>Supplementary Consultation facts and figures</b> The supplementary consultation took place between 2 <sup>nd</sup> September and 9 <sup>th</sup> October 2013 and focussed on the two remaining discretionary elements within the approved transport policy.		
<ul> <li>Children attending single sex school on the basis of a parent's religion</li> <li>The law only requires Leeds City Council to fund transport for child age from families on qualifying low income. This includes a parenta child to attend a single sex school in order for their child to receive basis of their religion or belief.</li> </ul>	ren of secondary al preference for their	
• The total cost of single sex based education in 2013/14 is approxin	nately £6,375	

affecting 23 children in years 7 to 11.

- A free bus pass is provided to the pupil, which is used on a commercial bus route.
- Fifteen of these children, who now attend the E-Act Academy, have a legacy
  entitlement from the former Parklands Girls High School. None of the 'legacy' children
  at the E-Act Academy would be affected by the changes as the proposed phasing in
  period would enable them to complete their compulsory education on the current basis.
- There are eight children from four families in receipt of support on a discretionary basis who attend Batley Girls High School in years 7 to 11.
- Four of the children will complete their compulsory education at Batley Girls High School during the phasing period. All applicants are reassessed for eligibility on an annual basis.

Children whose parents want them to attend a school on the basis of non-faith or beliefs

- The law only requires Leeds City Council to fund transport for children of secondary age from families on qualifying low income, whose parents want them to attend a school, on the basis of religion or belief, which can include no belief in a religion, but could also include a Humanist or Atheist belief.
- The effect of a parent's preference can mean their nearest school is not preferred and that their decision will require the council to provide discretionary travel to a more distant non-faith school.
- There have been no requests for this provision in the current academic year. There has consistently been no demand for this provision in the last five years.

#### **Supplementary Consultation Process**

The consultation was conducted via an online survey which focussed on these two types on transport. The dedicated consultation webpage was updated to promote the consultation which included a downloadable hard copy of the survey and the latest transport policy.

The communication plan identified key stakeholders and the ways in which they would receive information and have their views heard. The plan was supported by the Voice and Influence Team who took a lead in communicating with their networks to ensure maximum engagement of parents and carers and children and young people.

The plan involved contacting all key stakeholders e.g. head teachers, Elected Members, heads of service, the Leeds Humanists and other voluntary sector groups. They were directed to the consultation webpage and asked to comment themselves but also encouraged to share information with their networks, service users and anyone who would be directly affected by any changes to the policy.

All those who are directly affected by the changes were contacted by letter. This was also extended to those who have previously benefited from transport to single sex schools. The letter informed them of the consultation and directed them to the webpage. A hard copy of the survey was included as an alternative method of responding. The letter was followed up with a telephone call to ensure they fully understood the background to the consultation and the potential changes to the policy. They were encouraged to complete the survey and

any comments they made were noted. A second reminder letter was also sent out.

The consultation featured on INSITE and was included in the 'essentials' communication email which is viewed by LCC employees. The Citizen's Panel were also contacted to attract responses from people who may not be directly affected in order to gather a balanced viewpoint.

After the consultation closed the data was coded and the analysis was used to inform the equality impact assessment and for inclusion in the executive board papers.

# Geographical information

If the new draft transport policy is approved it could potentially impact upon children and young people and their families from across all districts of Leeds. The Council will continue to monitor any impact the withdrawal of this discretionary transport has on any particular areas.

However, due to the low numbers who take up this provision, it could be supposed that there would be no dramatic increase in admissions demand for local schools if parents withdrew them from Batley Girls school. The age of the pupils is also spread across year groups therefore any impact would be negligible.

### **Financial impact**

Low income families will still continue to receive statutory assistance subject to other qualifying criteria in accordance with the Transport Policy. During 2012/13, zero fare passes were funded for approximately 430 children solely on the basis of extended rights for low income families.

There is also an established appeals process which will review the entitlement to free transport on a case by case basis.

If a decision is made to end discretionary transport to non-faith and single sex schools then a phased withdrawal would help parents of the four children who are affected to budget for the impending change. There would also be an opportunity to be assessed on the basis of qualifying low income.

# **Equality monitoring**

If the decision is made to phase out transport to single sex schools over a two year period, there would only be four girls who would be affected after August 2015. The table below shows the equality breakdown of these four children.

Personal information and Indicators of recipients of discretionary funding	Total number of individuals
Total male	0
Total female	4
with English as second language	2
with a disability	0
Religion	
Muslim	3
Christian	0
None	0
Unknown	1

	Ethnicity				
				_	
	Pakistani Kashmiri Bakistani	1		4	
	Kashmiri Pakistani Unknown	0		-	
	Other	2		1	
	Postcodes of recipients of			-	
	discretionary funding				
	LS11	3		1	
	LS27	1		J	
survey i	There are currently no children who receive transport to non-faith schools. However 14 survey respondents believed that they would be affected by this service. We cannot explain how or why they perceive themselves to be affected given that no children receive this transport currently on the basis of no religion or belief.				
	re any gaps in equality provide detail:	and diversity infor	rmation		
Action	required:				
6. Wide	er involvement – have y	you involved arour	s of people wh	no are most likely to	
	cted or interested	you involved group		to the most likely to	
>	Yes	No			
Please	provide detail:				
	plementary consultation stakeholders and intere				
Due to the lower numbers of those affected by these discretionary services it was possible to contact them directly to make them aware of the potential changes in provision. They were contacted by letter which also included a hard copy of the survey. This was later followed up by a telephone call to ensure that the families had a full understanding of the consultation and to encourage them further to share their views.					
Group submissions of the surveys were encouraged so that people did not have to respond as an individual.					
	escales for the consultat lents and took into acco		to maximise the	e number of	
Action	required:				
İ					

7. Who may be affected by this activity?			
Equality characteristics			
X Age	X Carers Disability		
Gender reassignment	Race X Religion or Belief		
x Sex (male or female)	Sexual orientation		
X Other - Income			
	ership, pregnancy and maternity, social class, tion or family background, education or skills level)		
Please specify:			
There will be a financial impact on the fo basis of qualifying low income.	our families who are not eligible for transport on the		
Stakeholders			
X Services users	Employees Trade Unions		
x Partners	X Members Suppliers		
Other please specify			
Potential barriers.			
Built environment	Location of premises and services		
Information and communication	x Customer care		
X Timing	X Stereotypes and assumptions		
x Cost	x Consultation and involvement		
specific barriers to the stra	tegy, policy, services or function		

### Please specify

This proposal could be politically sensitive.

### 8. Positive and negative impact

# 8a. Positive impact:

The review of the council's current transport policy has raised the following positive issues;

- The Executive Board has already agreed to a phased approach, rather than an
  immediate withdrawal of discretionary transport, on the basis of attending a faith
  school. If this transitionary arrangement is extended to travel to a non-faith or a single
  sex school, on the basis of no faith and religion or belief, it will enable those parents
  who currently benefit to prepare for the extra cost in two years.
- The overall consultation process has raised awareness of the costs of transport to the wider public.
- The continued process has further stimulated new and innovative ideas for a broader range of services which could be provided to meet individual needs.
- The policy changes and continuing efficiencies provide long term savings for the Council allowing for funding to meet targets and the ability to maintain essential services.

### Action required:

 Seek to implement in partnership, new ways of providing better services to all children and young people

#### 8b. Negative impact:

A decision to remove the two elements of discretionary transport from the current transport policy could potentially result in the following negative impacts which need to be considered:

#### **Negative Impact on Families**

- If discretionary transport is no longer provided it is possible that some families would be more likely to be impacted upon financially than others. Based on current recipients this is more likely to affect BME families in the case of single sex transport.
- If discretionary transport was no longer provided then some young people and families may not select their first choice preference due to the cost of transport.

#### **Negative Impact on the Council**

- There could be a reputational impact if any changes to the transport policy are not carefully explained both to those affected as well as to the wider community
- Any changes could be seen to contradict the Council's aim to be a child friendly city.
- Children and young people have said that affordable transport and feeling safe on public transport are important to them.

#### **Action required:**

If the review results in changes to the current transport policy, as recommended, the actions below may help to mitigate the negative impacts:

# Mitigating the negative impact on families

- A planned communication strategy will be developed so any changes to the policy are clearly explained in a timely and accessible way. This will be done through close working with schools, colleges, families and other key agencies.
- The provision could be phased out in line with the withdrawal of other discretionary elements from the policy. Families will then be given plenty of notice before transport provision is amended to help mitigate the impact.
- Information explaining the low income eligibility criteria will need to be available and families will have to be given plenty of time to apply. Consideration will need to be given to the format and will take into account individual's requirements e.g. language barriers.
- On-going work with Metro to improve the offer of child friendly transport including driver training and concessionary fares.
- An appeal process will be retained that provides for discretionary provision on the grounds of exceptional hardship and this will be communicated to families.

# Mitigating the negative impact on the Council

- A planned communication strategy will be developed so any changes to the policy are clearly explained in a timely and accessible way. This will be done through close working with schools, colleges, families and other key agencies.
- On-going work with Metro to improve the offer of child friendly transport including driver training and concessionary fares.

	strong and positive relationships between the
groups/communities identifi	ied?
Yes	No
Please provide detail: Not ap	oplicable, in view of the low numbers who are affected.
Action required:	
-	
10. Does this activity bring other (e.g. in schools, neigh	groups/communities into increased contact with each bourhood, workplace)?
l Vac	No
Yes	No No
Please provide detail: Not ap	oplicable, in view of the low numbers who are affected.
Action required:	
Action required:	

11. Could this activity be perceived as benefitting one group at the expense of

another?			
x	Yes		No
Please provide detail: Continuing discretionary transport to single sex and non-faith schools could be seen to benefit these groups over others.			
Action red	quired:		

12. Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration action plan (insert all your actions from your assessment here, set timescales, measures and identify a lead person for each action)

Action	Timescale	Measure	Lead person
The development of a communication schedule which will notify people of key messages.	Each academic term.	All children, young people and their families will be made aware of any agreed changes and any key messages during the year.	Paul McGrath
The schedule will identify how messages will be communicated and in what format and will carefully consider any potential communication barriers.  Termly updates using a variety of		Any information which is shared with families will be accessible to all and will invite individuals to contact the transport team if they require it in another format e.g. community language or braille.	
existing methods.  Establish an implementation steering group.	Meetings to take place very two months.  First meeting 13 <sup>th</sup> November	Meetings arranged every two months for a strategically led challenge group with task and finish groups delegated to undertake any developments.	Sarah Sinclair

13. Governance, ownership and approval				
State here who has approved the actions and outcomes from the equality, diversity,				
cohesion and integration impact assessment				
Name	Job Title	Date		
Sarah Sinclair	Chief Officer, Strategy, Performance and	24 <sup>th</sup> October 2013		
Commissioning 24 October 2013				

14. Monitoring progress for equality, diversity, cohesion and integration actions (please tick)				
please tick)				
x As part of Service Planning performance	e monitoring			
As part of Project monitoring				
Update report will be agreed and provid Please specify which board	Update report will be agreed and provided to the appropriate board Please specify which board			
Other (please specify)				
15. Publishing				
This Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration impact assessment will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given.				
If this impact assessment relates to a <b>Key Delegated Decision</b> , <b>Executive Board</b> , <b>full Council</b> or a <b>Significant Operational Decision</b> a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report.				
A copy of <b>all other</b> Equality and Diversity, Cohesion and Integration impact assessment's should be sent to <a href="mailto:equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk">equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk</a> . For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published).				
Date screening completed N/A				
If relates to a Key Decision – date sent to				
Corporate Governance N/A				
Any other decision – date sent to Equality Team				
(equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk) N/A				